

# Canadian Bioinformatics Workshops

# www.bioinformatics.ca bioinformaticsdotca.github.io



**Module** <sup>1</sup> **7 bio**informatics.ca



# Genome-Guided and Genome-Free Transcriptome Assembly

**bioinformatics.**ca

Brian HaasInformatics for RNA-Seq Analysis June 11-13, 2019



# **Learning Objectives of Module**

- Understand the challenges involved in reconstructing transcripts from RNA-Seq data
- Become familiar with computational algorithms and data structures leveraged for transcript assembly
- Appreciate the importance of strand-specific RNA-Seq data for transcript reconstruction
- Differentiate between differential gene expression and differential transcript usage.



# **Assembly Required**



Adapted from G. Raetsch





### **Advancing RNA-Seq analysis**

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Brian J Haas & Michael C Zody

Nature Biotech, 2010

New methods for analyzing RNA-Seq data enable *de novo* reconstruction of the transcriptome.





























### **Graph Data Structures Commonly Used For Assembly**



**Module 7 bio**informatics.ca

### **Graph Data Structures Commonly Used For Assembly**



### **Splice-align reads to the genome**



### **Splice-align reads to the genome**



Alignment segment piles => exon regions

### **Splice-align reads to the genome**



Large alignment gaps  $\Rightarrow$  introns

### **Splice-align reads to the genome**



Overlapping but different introns = evidence of alternative splicing

### **Splice-align reads to the genome**



### **Splice-align reads to the genome**



Individual reads can yield multiple exon and intron segments (splice patterns)

### **Splice-align reads to the genome**



Nodes = unique splice patterns

# **Splice-align reads to the genome** 176,800 kb 176,802 kb 176,806 kb 176,808 kb 176,804 kb

#### **Construct graph from unique splice patterns of aligned reads.**



# **Splice-align reads to the genome** 176,800 kb 176,802 kb 176,806 kb 176,808 kb 176,804 kb

#### **Construct graph from unique splice patterns of aligned reads.**



# **Splice-align reads to the genome** 176,800 kb 176,802 kb 176,806 kb 176,808 kb 176,804 kb

#### **Construct graph from unique splice patterns of aligned reads.**



#### **Traverse paths through the graph to assemble transcript isoforms**



#### **Traverse paths through the graph to assemble transcript isoforms**



**Reconstructed isoforms**



What if you don't have a high quality reference genome sequence?

**Genome-free de novo transcript reconstruction to the rescue.** 





#### **Read Overlap Graph: Reads as nodes, overlaps as edges**







#### **Read Overlap Graph: Reads as nodes, overlaps as edges**









Generate consensus sequence where reads overlap



**Module 7 bio**informatics.ca

#### Finding pairwise overlaps between *n* reads involves  $\sim n^2$  comparisons.



*Impractical for typical RNA-Seq data (50M reads)*

**Module 7 bio**informatics.ca

### **No genome to align to… De novo assembly required**



#### Want to avoid *n2* read alignments to define overlaps

### **Use a de Bruijn graph**







From Martin & Wang, Nat. Rev. Genet. 2011





From Martin & Wang, Nat. Rev. Genet. 2011







#### **Construct the de Bruijn graph**



From Martin & Wang, Nat. Rev. Genet. 2011 **Nodes = unique k-mers, Edges = overlap by (k-1)** 





#### **Construct the de Bruijn graph**



From Martin & Wang, Nat. Rev. Genet. 2011 **Nodes = unique k-mers, Edges = overlap by (k-1)** 


# **Sequence Assembly via De Bruijn Graphs**



### **Construct the de Bruijn graph**



From Martin & Wang, Nat. Rev. Genet. 2011 **Nodes = unique k-mers, Edges = overlap by (k-1)** 



# **Sequence Assembly via De Bruijn Graphs**



### **Construct the de Bruijn graph**



From Martin & Wang, Nat. Rev. Genet. 2011 **Nodes = unique k-mers, Edges = overlap by (k-1)** 



## **Sequence Assembly via De Bruijn Graphs**

### **Generate all substrings of length k from the reads**



### **Construct the de Bruijn graph**



From Martin & Wang, Nat. Rev. Genet. 2011 **Nodes = unique k-mers, Edges = overlap by (k-1)** 

### **Construct the de Bruijn graph**



From Martin & Wang, Nat. Rev. Genet. 2011





### **Collapse the de Bruijn graph**



### **Traverse the graph**



### **Assemble Transcript Isoforms**



From Martin & Wang, Nat. Rev. Genet. 2011





### **Contrasting Genome and Transcriptome** *De novo* **Assembly**

- 
- 
- Assemble small numbers of large Mb-length chromosomes
- 

### **Genome Assembly Transcriptome Assembly**

- Uniform coverage **•** Exponentially distributed coverage levels
- Single contig per locus  $\|\cdot\|$  Multiple contigs per locus (alt splicing)
	- Assemble many thousands of Kb-length transcripts
- Double-stranded data Strand-specific data available



## **Trinity Aggregates Isolated Transcript Graphs**

### **Genome Assembly** Single Massive Graph



### **Trinity Transcriptome Assembly** Many Thousands of Small Graphs



Entire chromosomes represented. I ldeally, one graph per expressed gene.





# Trinity – How it works:



Thousands of disjoint graphs









## Butterfly Example 1: Reconstruction of Alternatively Spliced Transcripts





## Reconstruction of Alternatively Spliced Transcripts



### Reconstructed Transcripts





## Reconstruction of Alternatively Spliced Transcripts



### Reconstructed Transcripts





## Reconstruction of Alternatively Spliced Transcripts



# Teasing Apart Transcripts of Paralogous Genes Butterfly Example 2:





# Teasing Apart Transcripts of Paralogous Genes Butterfly Example 2:



# Strand-specific RNA-Seq is Preferred

Computationally: fewer confounding graph structures in de novo assembly: ex. Forward != reverse complement (GGAA != TTCC) Biologically: separate sense vs. antisense transcription

NATURE METHODS | VOL.7 NO.9 | SEPTEMBER 2010 |



## Comprehensive comparative analysis of strand-specific **RNA sequencing methods**

Joshua Z Levin<sup>1,6</sup>, Moran Yassour<sup>1-3,6</sup>, Xian Adiconis<sup>1</sup>, Chad Nusbaum<sup>1</sup>, Dawn Anne Thompson<sup>1</sup>, Nir Friedman<sup>3,4</sup>, Andreas Gnirke<sup>1</sup> & Aviv Regev<sup>1,2,5</sup>

Strand-specific, massively parallel cDNA sequencing (RNA-seq) is a powerful tool for transcript discovery, genome annotation and expression profiling. There are multiple published methods

Nevertheless, direct information on the originating strand can substantially enhance the value of an RNA-seq experiment. For example, such information would help to accurately identify anti-

## 'dUTP second strand marking' identified as the leading protocol

comparational piperine witompare maiary quatriy meu any RNA-seq method. Using the well-annotated Saccharomyces cerevisiae transcriptome as a benchmark, we compared seven library-construction protocols, including both published and

poundantes or aujacem genes transcribed on opposite stranus and resolve the correct expression levels of coding or noncoding overlapping transcripts. These tasks are particularly challenging in small microbial genomes, prokaryotic and eukaryotic, in which

# dUTP 2nd Strand Method: Our Favorite



**Modified from Parkhomchuk** *et al.* **(2009)** *Nucleic Acids Res.* **37:e123**

Slide from J. Levin



# Overlapping UTRs from Opposite Strands



*Schizosacharomyces pombe* (fission yeast)







## Antisense-dominated Transcription





## Trinity output: a multi-fasta file

#### >comp0 c0 seq1 len=5528 path=[1:0-3646 10775:3647-3775 3648:3776-5527]

ACTTATCTCAAAATGTAAGAATTAGATCTGATTGAAATGCTACATTTAGTAAGAAAATCAGCAAGTAACAGAGGAAGTGTAACCCCACCATGACTATTTGTCAACAAGACCAGTGGAGGCCCTACATGTTAGAGCAGG AGTGAGAGAGGAGATTCAGACACAAAACAGTCACGGAAAGCGCTGTCGGAGCTCGGCATGACATAATCAAGAGCAGTTTTCATCTTCTCGCAGACCAGCCTCTTAAGCTGGAGGCTTAGGGAACAGCCCACCAACCTTAG ACAACCECCCAACAGCEFFTCCACCFFTCCCAGAAGACCAGCGGGCTCAGTCTCAGCAGCETTACGAAGCTCACGGCGGCCTGTGCTCAGATTCTCCCTCAAAGTGGGCAGAACTTCCTCACTTCCTCACCCCTGAG  ${\tt GTAAACCCAATGAGGGTCTCGGCTTCTAGGTTTTATATATAATAAATTAATTTTATATTTTAATACCCCAAAAAATTAAATTCACATCACAATCCTTCCATGCATTCAAGGAAGGAAGTTCCGGATTAAAACAATGCCAGC$ TGAGTGCAGGTAACTGCTCTGGACTTTCCCCTGCACAGTCTTGGAAAATTTTCTTCTCCCGTAGAGATAGAAGTTCCTCTAACGTGLAGTCCTCAAGTGCAATCAATCAGTGCTTCAAGTCCTTCAAGTCCTTCAATAG  ${\tt CCACACCA7TGGA} {\tt AAT7ACACTCGA} {\tt ACTCAGTAACCTACGCTTGAAACTGG7GA} {\tt AAT7GGACATCATCACCTGTTGACCTT7TC7T7TC7T7T7TC7G7T7T7TCT4GATTATT7A7T7GCTCGCA} {\tt AACACACCT}$ TCACAGTAACTGGACACCCAAAGGATGACAGAAATAGTCTCAACGAAGAAGACCAGATTCTCTAGGACTGGAGCTGGGTCTTCAAATTGCCATCTGTAACTTCTAAGGTCCCCTTTACATGTGCTGAAGACACCTTT TTGCTTCAAGTAGAAGGTCTAACAGCATCCGCTCAGTGCGTACTTGTGCAAAAATGGAGAGAATTATTCAGCCTGTTCCTAAAAGCGATAAACTCTGGGATCTTCTCAAATGCACATATTTGTAAGCTTGAATAATGTAT  ${\tt GOACCTGATTARTGTTGTCTCCTGCCACCTGGCACACCAAGACCTCGAACACCTCAGACCTGCTGAAACCTGCCCTTGCAACCTTCCCCCAAACTTCTGAAATACCGGAACATTAACTCTTCAGGCTCACCCACCACCTGTATT$ TCGTCATTACAGCCTTGGCTCCGCAAGCGCCTGATGAGCTCCAGCTTAGCTAGGTGTGGGCCTCGGACATGTCGAGACCTTTGTGATGCCTCTCTATCCTCTCTATAAACTTCACAGCATCTTCTGCAGAGCAGTG TACTTCCCCTTCTAAGGAGTGTTCCCCCTCAGCAGGCGGCGTCCAGGCTTCCTCAATCAGTCGAAAGAGAATCAAAATCAGATAGAACTGCCAGTCATCTGAGTTTTTAGAAAGGAGCGTCGGGAAAGGGCGT TGCACTCCGGCCACTTGCTCAGCTTGTACATGGCCATGCATTTGTTTCCCGACTCTGAATTTCACTTGTCAACTTCTCTCCTAATTTTCCTCTAATGACATCCAGGGCTTCCTGGTACTTCCCAAGCGCTCCAAGCGCTCCAAGCGCCCAAGCGCCCAAGCGCCCAAGCGCCCAAGCGCCCAAGCGCCAAGCGCCAAGCGCCAAGCGCCAAGCGCCAACACAC  ${\tt GCTCATCACCTAACTAAATAAGTATTCTGAGGATCCTTATACTTATACAGACCCTGCTGCTGCTGCTTCTTCTTCTTCTTCTTCTTCTTACTCCACTCTTCTAAAGCCATTAAAGGTGAAGATGGTACTCCTCCTTTCGGAA$  ${\tt CAGCCCCCGCTCTTAGGCTCCTCCACATGGCCCCCGCCCTCACATGACAAACCCACAAACCTCAGT}$ 

#### >comp0 c0 seq2 len=5399 path=[1:0-3646 3648:3647-5398]

GTAAACAGTAGTCGTGTTTTGTGGTTTTAAATATCAATTTACCACACAAAAACAAAACAAAACCAATAAACCCATATAAACCACAGCAGCACTGGGCCTTGAGCATTCTCCTTAGATGCTAGTGACATACAGG ACTTATCTCAAAATGTAAGAATTAGATCTGATTGAAATGCTACATTTAGTAAGAAAATCAGCAAGTAACAGAGGAAGTGTAACCCCACCATGACATTTGTCAACAAGACCAGTGGAGGCCCTACATGTTAGAGGCCCTACA TATTCAAAAAGGCCCTTTTTTGGGGATGGAGCACGTGATACTCTGATGCAACCATGATGTAGGCTCCAGCACCATCCTACAAGTAAGAAAGTAGCACACTTTCCTTACAAGTAAATTAGTTACCACTATGCTGA TTTGTGAATCCCAGACAGTTACGATAAAGAATGCAATGGTGTGCTGCTGGAGCAGTCCATGGGAAAGACCAGTCCTCACCAAGTCATCTTTTCACCTTACAGTTACTCTCAGGAATAAAGTGACAGGGAACAAGAACAGGA AGTGAGAGAGGAGATTCAGACACAAAACAGTCACGGAAAGCGCTGTCGGAGCTCGGCATGACATAATCAAGAGCAGTTTTCATCTTCTCGCAGACCAGCCTCTTAAGCTGGAGGCTTAGGGAACAGCCCACCAACCTTAG ACAACCECTCCAACAGCETTTCCACCTTCCCAGAAGACCAGCGGGGCTCAGTCTCAGCAGCTCAGGCCCACGGTGGGCCTGTGCTCAGATTCTCCCTCAAAGTGGGCAGAACTTCCTCACTTCCTCACCCCTGAG  ${\tt CAGAGGTGTTGTTGCGGGGAAGGTGGFGGTCGCTGTGGAACCTGGGGGTGTTATGGTTCAGAATCCTTAAATTTTTAGTTTTTGTAGTCCCTGAAGTCTCTTCTCAGCAGTCCCCTGCCCGAGTCCGAGTCTGCTGGAAG$ TGAGTGCAGGTAACTGCTCTGGACTTTCCCCTGCACAGTCTTTGAAAAFTTTCTTTCCCGTAGAGATAGAAGTTTCCTCTAACGTGAGCTCCTCAAGTCTAAGTGCAATCAGATGTCTTCAAGTCCTTTAATATGAT TCACAGTAACTGGACACCCAAAGGATGACAGAAATAGTCTCAACGAAGAAGCAGATTCTCTAGGACTGCAGGTTGCACATTGCCATCTGTAACTTCTAAGAGGTCCCCTTTACATGTGCTGAAGACACCTTT  $\label{thm:main} Coarrowc \texttt{sc} \texttt{sc} \texttt{sc} \texttt{sc} \texttt{sc} \texttt{c} \texttt{sc} \texttt{c} \texttt{sc} \texttt{c} \texttt{sc} \texttt{c} \texttt{sc} \texttt{c} \texttt{sc} \texttt{c} \texttt{sc} \texttt{c} \texttt{c} \texttt{c$ TTGCTTCAAGTAGAAGGTCTAACAGCATCCGCTCAGTGCGTACTTGTGCAAAATGGAGAGAATTATTCAGCCTGTTCCTAAAAGCGATAAACTCTGGGATCTTCTCAAATGCACCATATTTGTAAGCTTGAATAATGTAT TCTGAGGTATCTTTCTGGTTGGAGTGGAAAAAACCTGAGTGCAAAGTTACAGGACTGGGAGGCAGCATACTGACCCAGGATGCAGCATATCGGGTCAGAAGATAGCCAATGGTGCGTGGATGTCCTTAGCATC ACCACATCCAGCTTCTGACTCTTATCCATGCTGTGGTACAAGCCAAGGAGCGTGTCAGCTGCACAACACAGAGTCGTGCAGGCTCGGATGTCGGCCAGGCCAGGCAAGCTTATCCTCTGTCGGCGTCGACAATGG AACAACTCCAAGGAGCTGGTTAATGAATTGTGTGCACTGGGCGGCAAGGCAAGAGAGCAACGAACACCTTCAGGTCTGTGAAGCAGGCTTATCCCCGAACTTCTTGAAATACTGGAACATTAACTCTTCAGGGTCAC  ${\tt GCAAGCGAGTGTACCTTCCCCTTCAAGGAGTCTTCCCCCTCAGGCGACGCTCCAGCTTCCTCAATCAGTCGAAAGAAAGAAATCGAAATAAATCCAGATAGACTCCGAGTTCTTCAAAAGGAGCACTCG$ TCCATCATCAACCTCATCACTCACCAAAAGTAGTAAGGGTTTTTGGGGACAATCTTATACAGAGCCATGCCAGCCTGCTGCTATCTCGCCCACTCTGGCATAGGCCATGAAGAGGTGAGAGTGGTACTCCTC TGAAAGCTTCTTCCTGCCCAGTTCTCTGTAAACCAATGGCCTTGAGAACCTTTGCACAGAGATCTTTGTGTTTCTTCAACAGTT7ATCAGCTTGCTGAATTGCCATTT7ATTATCCATTATCCAAGATAATCG TAAATGGGCCGGAGGCGCCGGTCGTTAGGGTCCTGCACATGGCCCCCGCGTCGCCATGATGACAAGCGCAGAACCTCAGT

# Flavors of Differential Expression Analyses

- Transcripts:
	- Differential Transcript Expression (DTE)
	- Differential Transcript Usage (DTU)
	- Differential Exon Usage (DEU)
- Gene:
	-
	- Differential Gene Expression (DGE)<br>– Gene Differential Expression (GDE)



### **Differential Gene Expression (DGE) and Differential Transcript Expression (DTE) (Example 1)**







### **Differential Gene Expression (DGE) and Differential Transcript Expression (DTE) (Example 1)**





### **Differential Gene Expression (DGE) and Differential Transcript Expression (DTE) (Example 2)**





Yes

#### **Differential Gene Expression (DGE) and Differential Transcript Expression (DTE) (Example 3)**





#### **Differential Gene Expression (DGE) and Differential Transcript Expression (DTE) (Example 3)**



## Clarifying view: (DTE or DTU or DGE) as special cases of Ge



Ntranos, Yi, et al., 2018 – see supp.

[See Lior Pachter's blog post: https://liorpachter.wordpress.com/2019/01/07/fast-and-accurate-gene-differential-expressio](https://liorpachter.wordpress.com/2019/01/07/fast-and-accurate-gene-differential-expression-by-testing-transcript-compatibility-counts/)nby-testing-transcript-compatibility-counts/



### High Confidence Differential Transcript Expression is Difficult to Attain With Many Candidate Isoforms



### Measure Differential Transcript Usage (DTU) via Differential Exon Usage (DEU)



**Module bio**informatics.ca

### **Measure Differential Transcript Usage (DTU) via Differential Exon Usage (DEU)**





Genome Res. 2012 Oct; 22(10): 2008-2017. doi: 10.1101/gr.133744.111

**PMCID: PMC3460195** 



Figure 3. The treatment of knocking down the splicing factor pasilla affects the fourth exon (counting bin E004) of the gene Ten-m (CG5723). (Top panel) Fitted values according to the linear model; (middle panel) normalized counts for each sample; (bottom panel) flattened gene model. (Red) Data for knockdown samples; (blue) control.



#### **Enabling Differential Transcript Usage Analysis for De novo Transcriptome Assemblies**







### **Enabling Differential Transcript Usage Analysis for De novo Transcriptome Assemblies**



Transcript splice graph:



Similar method and protocols now integrated into Trinity: [https://github.com/trinityrnaseq/trinityrnaseq/wiki/SuperTranscrip](https://github.com/trinityrnaseq/trinityrnaseq/wiki/SuperTranscripts)ts



### **Enabling Differential Transcript Usage Analysis for De novo Transcriptome Assemblies**



Transcript splice graph:



Similar method and protocols now integrated into Trinity: [https://github.com/trinityrnaseq/trinityrnaseq/wiki/SuperTranscrip](https://github.com/trinityrnaseq/trinityrnaseq/wiki/SuperTranscripts)ts DEXseq for DTU, GATK for Variant Detection


## **Time for Transcript Reconstruction Lab**







## We are on a Coffee Break & Networking Session

Workshop Sponsors:







