
#### RNA-Seq Module 3 Alignment QC

Kelsy Cotto, Malachi Griffith, Obi Griffith, Megan Richters








Module 3 rnabio.org

#### Learning objectives of module 8

- Visualization of RNA-seq alignments in IGV
- Alignment QC Assessment
- BAM read counting and determination of variant allele expression status

## Visualization of RNA-seq alignments in IGV browser




#### Alternative viewers to IGV

- Alternative viewers to IGV
  - http://www.biostars.org/p/12752/
  - http://www.biostars.org/p/71300/
- Artemis, BamView, Chipster, gbrowse2, GenoViewer, MagicViewer,
   Savant, Tablet, tview

#### Alignment QC Assessment

- 3' and 5' Bias
- Nucleotide Content
- Base/Read Quality
- PCR Artifact
- Sequencing Depth
- Base Distribution
- Insert Size Distribution

### Alignment QC: 3' & 5' Bias




## Alignment QC: Nucleotide Content

- Random primers are used to reverse transcribe RNA fragments into double-stranded complementary DNA (dscDNA)
- Causes certain patterns to be over represented at the beginning (5'end) of reads
- Deviation from expected A%=C%=G%=T%=25%

Journal List > Nucleic Acids Res > v.38(12); 2010 Jul > PMC2896536

#### **Nucleic Acids Research**



Nucleic Acids Res. 2010 Jul; 38(12): e131.

Published online 2010 Apr 14. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkq224

Biases in Illumina transcriptome sequencing caused by random hexamer priming

Kasper D. Hansen, 1,\* Steven E. Brenner, 2 and Sandrine Dudoit 1,3


Author information ▶ Article notes ▶ Copyright and License information ▶

This article has been cited by other articles in PMC.

http://rseqc.sourceforge.net/


### **Alignment QC: Quality Distribution**

- Phred quality score is widely used to characterize the quality of base-calling
- Phred quality score = -10xlog(10)P, here
   P is probability that base-calling is wrong
- Phred score of 30 means there is 1/1000 chance that the base-calling is wrong
- The quality of the bases tend to drop at the end of the read, a pattern observed in sequencing by synthesis techniques



#### **Alignment QC: PCR Duplication**

- Duplicate reads are reads that have the same start/end positions and same exact sequence
- In DNA-seq, reads/start point is used as a metric to assess PCR duplication rate
- In DNA-seq, duplicate reads are collapsed using tools such as picard
- How is RNA-seq different from DNA-seq?




http://rseqc.sourceforge.net/


### **Alignment QC: Sequencing Depth**

#### • Have we sequenced deep enough?

- In DNA-seq, we can determine this by looking at the average coverage over the sequenced region. Is it above a certain threshold?
- In RNA-seq, this is a challenge due to the variability in gene abundance
- Use splice junctions detection rate as a way to identify desired sequencing depth
- Check for saturation by resampling 5%, 10%, 15%, ..., 95% of total alignments from aligned file, and then detect splice junctions from each subset and compare to reference gene model.
- This method ensures that you have sufficient coverage to perform alternative splicing analyses



### **Alignment QC: Base Distribution**




Your sequenced bases distribution will depend on the library preparation protocol selected

#### **Alignment QC: Insert Size**

http://thegenomefactory.blogspot.ca/2013/08/paired-end-read-confusion-library.html

### **Alignment QC: Insert Size**

Mean=60;SD=52



Consistent with library size selection?

http://rseqc.sourceforge.net

# BAM read counting and variant allele expression status



- A variant C->T is observed in 12 of 25 reads covering this position. Variant allele frequency (VAF) 12/25 = 48%.
- Both alleles appear to be expressed equally (not always the case) -> heterozygous, no allele specific expression
- How can we determine variant read counts, depth of coverage, and VAF without manually viewing in IGV?