

Canadian Bioinformatics Workshops

www.bioinformatics.ca bioinformaticsdotca.github.io

Supported by



Creative Commons

This page is available in the following languages: Afrikaans български Català Dansk Deutsch Ελληνικά English English (CA) English (GB) English (US) Esperanto Cestellano Castellano (AR) Espeñol (CL) Castellano (CO) Espeñol (Ecuador) Castellano (MX) Castellano (PE) Euskara Suomeksi français français (CA) Galego ארער hrvatski Magyar Italiano 日本語 한국어 Macedonian Metayu Nederlands Norsk Sesotho sa Lebos polski Português română slovenski gizîk cpncxv srpski (latinica) Sotho svenska 中文 華語 (台灣) isiZulu



Attribution-Share Alike 2.5 Canada



RNA: Module 3

2

Abundance Estimation

Emma Bell, Felicia Gomez, Obi Griffith, Malachi Griffith, Huiming Xia

RNA-Seq Analysis

Sep 8th-10th, 2021





Expression estimation for known genes and transcripts



RNA: Module 3

What is FPKM (RPKM)

- RPKM: **Reads** Per Kilobase of transcript per Million mapped reads.
- FPKM: **Fragments** Per Kilobase of transcript per Million mapped reads.
- No essential difference Just a terminology change to better describe paired-end reads!

What is **FPKM**

- Why not just count reads in my RNAseq data? ---- Fragments
- The relative expression of a transcript is proportional to the number of cDNA fragments that originate from it. However:
 - # fragments is biased towards larger genes
 - # fragments is related to total library depth

- ----> Per Kilobase of transcript
- **per Million mapped reads.**

What is FPKM

- FPKM attempts to normalize for gene size and library depth
 - remember RPKM is essentially the same!
- FPKM = (10^9 * C) / (N * L)
 - C = number of mappable reads/fragments for a gene/transcript/exon/etc
 - N = total number of mappable reads/fragments in the library
 - L = number of base pairs in the gene/transcript/exon/etc

- More reading:
 - <u>http://www.biostars.org/p/11378/</u>
 - <u>http://www.biostars.org/p/68126/</u>

How do FPKM and TPM differ?

- TPM: Transcript per Kilobase Million
- The difference is in the order of operations:

FPKM

1) Determine total fragment count, divide by 1,000,000 (per Million)

2) Divide each gene/transcript fragment count by #1 (Fragments Per Million)

3) Divide each FPM by length of each gene/transcript in kilobases (FPKM)

ТРМ

1) Divide each gene/transcript fragment count by length of the transcript in kilobases (Fragments Per Kilobase)

2) Sum all FPK values for the sample and divide by 1,000,000 (per Million)

3) Divide #1 by #2 (TPM)

- The sum of all TPMs in each sample is the same. Easier to compare across samples!
- <u>http://www.rna-seqblog.com/rpkm-fpkm-and-tpm-clearly-explained/</u>
- <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22872506</u>

How does StringTie work?

Map reads to the genome

Infer isoforms:

- iteratively extract the heaviest path from a splice graph
- construct a flow network
- compute maximum flow to estimate abundance
- update the splice graph by removing reads that were assigned by the flow algorithm
- This process repeats until all reads have been assigned.



bioinformatics.ca

RNA: Module 3

From flow network for each transcript, maximum flow is used to assemble transcript and estimate abundance



StringTie uses basic graph theory (splice graph), custom heuristics (heaviest path), more graph theory (flow network) and optimization theory (maximum flow). See StringTie paper for definitions and math.

RNA: Module 3

StringTie -merge

- Merge together all gene structures from all samples
 - Some samples may only partially represent a gene structure
- Incorporates known transcripts with assembled, potentially novel transcripts
- For de novo or reference guided mode, we will rerun StringTie with the merged transcript assembly.

gffcompare

- gffcompare will compare a merged transcript GTF with known annotation, also in GTF/GFF3 format
- <u>http://cole-trapnell-</u> <u>lab.github.io/cufflinks/cuffcompare/index.html</u> <u>#cuffcompare-output-files</u>

Priority Code Description

1	=	Complete match of intron chain
2	с	Contained
3	j	Potentially novel isoform (fragment): at least one splice junction is shared with a reference transcript
4	e	Single exon transfrag overlapping a reference exon and at least 10 bp of a reference intron, indicating a possible pre-mRNA fragment.
5	i	A transfrag falling entirely within a reference intron
6	o	Generic exonic overlap with a reference transcript
7	р	Possible polymerase run-on fragment (within 2Kbases of a reference transcript)
8	r	Repeat. Currently determined by looking at the soft-masked reference sequence and applied to transcripts where at least 50% of the bases are lower case
9	u	Unknown, intergenic transcript
10	x	Exonic overlap with reference on the opposite strand
11	S	An intron of the transfrag overlaps a reference intron on the opposite strand (likely due to read mapping errors)
12		(.tracking file only, indicates multiple classifications)

We are on a Coffee Break & Networking Session

Workshop Sponsors:



McGill initiative in Computational Medicine



RNA: Module 3