Long Read Sequencing

Dick McCombie

Advanced Sequencing Technologies and Applications course Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory 2020

Significant advances in genome sequencing over last 16 years



Evolution of genome assemblies

- Initial references very high quality extremely expensive
- Period of lower quality Sanger assemblies (~2001-2007)
- Next gen assemblies (short read) 2007- now
- Third generation long read assemblies
 -2013/2014 now what can we do currently?







Short vs long reads

- Short read NGS has
 revolutionized resequencing
- *De novo* assembly is possible but not optimal with short reads
- Long reads improve the ability do *de novo* assembly dramatically
- Even in organisms with a good reference, such as humans, resequencing misses many structural differences relative to the reference

- Plant genomes are very large in general
- There are significant structural differences between different strains of the same plant such as rice
- These structural differences contribute to salient biological differences

Advantages of Long Read length

Enables a broader set of applications Full scale of genetic variation Repetitive regions Structural variants Enables higher quality alignments and assembly Less fold coverage required Finished genomes

Limitations of long reads

- Cost
- Throughput
- Accuracy
- DNA amount required
- DNA quality required





Two "flavors" of long read sequencing

Significant advances in long read sequencing over last 6 years





PacBio



RSII

- ~85% single pass accuracy
- "short read" CCS accuracy >99.999%
- Up to 2Gb per SMRTcell
- Read lengths up to 60kb

Pacific Biosciences Sequel II

Released in 2018

Smaller, lower cost instrument

1 Million ZMW (155k RSII)

Early runs were rocky

Substantial recent improvement in performance



Zero-Mode Waveguides Are the Observation Windows

DNA sequencing is performed on SMRT[™] Cells, each containing tens of thousands of zero-mode waveguides (ZMWs)

A ZMW is a cylindrical hole, hundreds of nanometers in diameter, perforating a thin metal film supported by a transparent substrate

The ZMW provides a window for observing DNA polymerase as it performs sequencing by synthesis





DNA Polymerase as a Sequencing Engine

A single DNA polymerase molecule is attached to the bottom of the ZMW

A single incorporation event can be identified against the background of fluorescently labeled nucleotides



ZMW with DNA polymerase



ZMW with DNA polymerase and phospholinked nucleotides

Processive Synthesis with Phospholinked Nucleotides

Enzymatic incorporation of the labeled nucleotide creates a flash of light, which is captured by the optics system and converted into a base call with associated quality metrics using optimized algorithms To generate consensus sequence from the data, an assembly process aligns the different fragments based on common sequences





LIGHTS ALL ASKEW IN THE HEAVENS; Men of Science More or Less Agog Over Results of Eclipse Observations. EINSTEIN THEORY **TRIUMPHS Stars Not Where They Seemed or** Were Calculated to be, but Nobody Need Worry. A BOOK FOR 12 WISE MEN No More in All the World Could Comprehend It, Said Einstein When His Daring Publishers Accepted It.

Yeast: S. cerevisiae W303

reads

PacBio RS II sequencing at CSHL Size selection using an 7 Kb elution window on a BluePippin™ device from Sage Science





S. cerevisiae W303

S288C Reference sequence

•12.1Mbp; 16 chromo + mitochondria; N50: 924kbp

PacBio assembly using HGAP + Celera Assembler •12.4Mbp; 21 non-redundant contigs; N50: 811kbp; >99.8% id





S. pombe dg21

ASM294 Reference sequence

•12.6Mbp; 3 chromo + mitochondria; N50: 4.53Mbp

PacBio assembly using HGAP + Celera Assembler •12.7Mbp; 13 non-redundant contigs; N50: 3.83Mbp; >99.98% id





O. sativa pv Indica (IR64)

Genome size: ~370 Mb Chromosome N50: ~29.7 Mbp



Assembly	Contia NG50	
		HGAP Read Lengths
MiSeq Fragments 25x 456bp (3 runs 2x300 @ 450 FLASH)	19 kbp	Max: 53,652bp 22.7x over 10kbp (discarded reads below 8500bp)
"ALLPATHS-recipe" 50x 2x100bp @ 180 36x 2x50bp @ 2100 51x 2x50bp @ 4800	18 kbp	
HGAP + CA 22.7x @ 10kbp	4.0 Mbp	
Nipponbare BAC-by-BAC Assembly	5.1 Mbp	10000 20000 30000 40000 50000

Structural Variations in SKBR3

SKRB3 cell line was derived by G. Trempe and L. J. Old in 1970 from pleural effusion cells of a patient, a white, Caucasian female

Most commonly used Her2-amplified breast cancer cell line

Often used for pre-clinical research on Her2-targeting therapeutics such as Herceptin (Trastuzumab) and resistance to these therapies.





(Davidson et al, 2000)

Importance of Structural Variations in Cancer

Copy number changes

Especially amplification & deletions of oncogenes and tumor suppressors

Gene Fusions

Modifies protein sequence & function, potentially alters gene expression by fusing highly expressed transcript with lowly expressed transcript

Prognostic indicator

Greater genome instability generally leads to worse patient outcomes



Figure 2. Major types of tumor genomic profiles. Segmentation profiles for individual tumors representing each category: (*A*) simplex; (*B*) complex type I or sawtooth; (*C*) complex type II or firestorm. Scored events consist of a minimum of six consecutive probes in the same state. The *y*-axis displays the geometric mean value of two experiments on a log scale. Note that the scale of the amplifications in *C* is compressed relative to *A* and *B* owing to the high levels of amplification in firestorms. Chromosomes 1–22 plus X and Y are displayed in order from *left* to *right* according to probe position.

(Hicks et al, 2006, Genome Research)

Importance of Structural Variations in Cancer

Copy number changes

Especially amplification & deletions of oncogenes and

Despite the importance of structural variations, relatively little is known except for the largest CNVs

Clinical standard: low resolution FISH, microarrays, or panels Research standard: Short read sequencing but misses the vast majority of SVs

Prognostic indicator

Greater genome instability generally leads to worse patient outcomes (Hicks et al, 2006, Genome Research)

Structural Variations in SKBR3





Complex rearrangements and oncogene amplifications revealed by long-read DNA and RNA sequencing of a breast cancer cell line Nattestad, M et al (2018) Genome Research

- Finding 10s of thousands of additional variants in the cancer
- PCR validation confirms high accuracy of long read calls
- With improved SV analysis, can infer the progression of the cancer
- Detect many novel gene fusions

PacBio coverage is more stable than Illumina coverage in repetitive regions



Assembly using PacBio yields far better contiguity

Number of sequences: 10,304 Total sequence length: 2.75 Gb Mean: 266 kb Max: 15 Mb N50: 2.17 Mb

NG50: 1.86 Mb



Number of sequences: 748,955 Total sequence length: 2.07 Gb Mean: 2.8 kb Max: 61 kb N50: 3.3 kb NG50: 1.9 kb

illumina®





Cancer lesion reconstruction from genomic threads



By comparing the proportion of reads that are spanning or split at breakpoints we can begin to infer the history of the genetic lesions. 1. Healthy diploid genome

- 2. Original translocation into chromosome 8
- 3. Duplication, inversion, and inverted duplication within chromosome 8
- 4. Final duplication from within chromosome 8

Combined genome and transcriptome analysis

- 143,532 distinct isoforms
 - 18,186 overlapping groups
- 7 of 9 known gene fusions represented

Known Gene fu	sions	Confirmed by PacBio DNA?	Confirmed by PacBio Iso-Seq
TATDN1	GSDMB	Yes	Yes
RARA	ΡΚΙΑ	Yes	Yes
ANKHD1	PCDH1	Yes	Νο
CCDC85C	SETD3	Yes	Νο
SUMF1	LRRFIP2	Yes	Yes
WDR67 (TBC1D31)	ZNF704	Yes	Yes
DHX35	ІТСН	Yes	Yes
NFS1	PREX1	Yes *if allowing for 3 translocations	Yes
CYTH1	EIF3H	Yes *if allowing for 2 translocations	Yes

TRIO-FBXL7

18 split DNA reads + PCR validation



PacBio errors are randomly distributed



Enough coverage makes error drop out



From Wenger et al (2019) Nature Biotechnology



PromethION



48 independent flowcells

500bp/s sequencing speed

3000 pores per flowcells = 144,000 pores (fully loaded)

On site 1D basecalling

>140Gb in CSHL hands

>100M cDNA reads

Up to ~7Tb fully loaded on 60 hours

Oxford Nanopore relies on CsgG and a nondestructive motor protein



Cis side voltage drives DNA through pore

Motor protein mediates DNA unwinding and translocation speed

Ions flow through the pore to change membrane potential

Small changes in measured voltage are translated into k-mers

Nanopore Sensing Summary

Nanopore = 'very small hole'

Ionic current flows through the pore Introduce analyte of interest into the pore

Identify target analyte by the characteristic disruption or block to the electrical current Block or 'State', Dwell, Noise



Raw Data and Data Reduction



Nanopore errors are (mostly) randomly distributed

ATGCTGTTCGATCGATGCTGCTAGCTAGCTAGCTTTTTT CCGATCCTACTGACTTACTATGCT

ATGCTCTTCGATCGATGCTGCTAGCTAGCTAGCTTTTTTT CGGATCCTACTGACTTACTATGCT

ATGCTCTTCGATCGATGCTGCTAGCTAGCTAGCTTTTTTT CCGATCCTACTGACTTACTATGCT

Enough coverage makes error (mostly) drop out

Oxford Nanopore Cost vs Yield



Oxford Nanopore Sequencing at CSHL



PromethION yields have declined as we have targeted longer fragments, but further optimization to increase yield is underway

Structural Variant Comparison of SKBR3



Structural Variant Comparison of SKBR3



Multi-omics Long Read Analysis of Cancer

	Normal Breast Tissue	Normal Breast Organoid	Tumor Breast Organoid	SK-BR-3 Breast Cancer Cell Line
Oxford Nanopore WGS	Y	Ν	Y	Y
PacBio WGS	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y
ONT Methylation	Y	N	Y	Y
Illumina Methylation	Y	Ν	Y	Y
Illumina RNA-seq	Ν	Y	Y	Y
PacBio RNA-seq	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y
Pathology	NA	NA	ER+, PR+, Her2-	ER-, PR-, Her2+
Histology	Digital Atlas of Breast Pathology	David Spector, CSHL	David Spector, CSHL	ATCC
Image Source				



Cross Platform SV comparison for sample 51

From Aganezov 2020

Preliminary Structural Variations Analysis



			Duplication			Translocatio
	Total	Deletions	s	Insertions	Inversions	ns
All SVs in normal	9816	5225	578	3727	130	156
All SVs in tumor	13737	7020	988	5292	202	235
SVs only in tumor (Also exclude NA12878)	3662	1805	420	1250	98	89

SVs in sample 51 not detected by short reads. Insertions found in BRCA1 and CHEK2. Insertions and duplications found in NOTCH1.



Living Fossils Oxford Nanopore Sequencing

Node	Gymnosperm species	1C (pg)	1C (Gbp)	Sequencing strategy * = this project
1	Ginkgo biloba ("living fossil")	11.75	11.5	NGS [1]
1	Cycas revoluta	13.70	13.4	NGS [2]
2	Pinus taeda	22.10	21.6	NGS [3]
2	Picea abies ("living fossil")	20.01	19.6	NGS [4]
3	Juniperus communis	9.84	9.6	Oxford Nanopore*
3	Thuja plicata	12.84	12.6	NGS [2]
3	Metasequoia glyptostroboides ("living fossil")	11.04	10.8	Oxford Nanopore*
4	Wollemia nobilis ("living fossil")	11.04	10.8	Oxford Nanopore*
4	Agathis vitiensis	15.80	15.5	Oxford Nanopore*
5	Welwitschia mirabilis	7.20	7.0	NGS [2]
5	Gnetum ula	2.25	2.2	Oxford Nanopore*

Collaboration with Srividya Ramakrishnan and Mike Schatz

Wollemia Nanopore Assembly with wtdbg2



Assembled reads >Q10 & >40kb

- Required 10 days with 1TB RAM
- Assembly with 30kbp reads produced worse assembly

Assembly Stats:

- Total Span: 15,659,209,344 bp
- Contig N50: 312,370 bp
- Max contig len: 7,090,464bp
- Number contigs: 223,812

Comparisons:

- 22 Gbp loblolly pine: contig N50=25kbp
- https://academic.oup.com/gigascience/article/6/1/giw016/2865215
- 15.3 Gbp hexaploid wheat: contig N50=232kbp
- http://academic.oup.com/gigascience/article/6/11/gix097/4561661

Assembly comparison to large plant genomes

Wollemia Polished Assembly Stats

Comparison to Loblolly Pine and Norwagian Spruce genomes

Assembly Contiguity

Cumulative sequence length



Percentage of reference (15.9 Gbp)

Largest genome of the Living Fossils project - estimated 22Gb genome

Araucaria Nanopore Assembly with wtdbg2



Assembled reads >Q12 & >45kb

Required 1 month with about 1.6 TB RAM

Assembly Stats:

- Total Span: 32,168,661,985 bp
- Contig N50: 126,834 bp
- Max contig len: 2,932,577 bp
- Number contigs: 561,509

Comparisons:

- 22 Gbp loblolly pine: contig N50=25kbp
- <u>https://academic.oup.com/gigascience/article/6/1/giw016/2865215</u>
- 15.3 Gbp hexaploid wheat: contig N50=232kbp
- <u>http://academic.oup.com/gigascience/article/6/11/gix097/4561661</u>

Summary

Long read platforms have matured significantly in the last few years PacBio and Oxford Nanopore producing similar length distributions Overcome high error sequencing with improved informatics Oxford Nanopore exciting for methylation & direct RNA capabilities

Long reads are crucial for accurate SV calling Finding thousands to tens of thousands of additional SVs over short reads Resolves the false positives observed with short reads Detecting potential cancer risk factors that would otherwise go unnoticed

Sample & DNA requirements one of the largest barriers for clinical application Continue to advance protocols for extracting, preparing samples Organoids (as opposed to primary tumors) enable large DNA amounts for long read sequencing, though it remains much more difficult then cell culture Organoids also enable application and profiling of other molecular and pharmaceutical assays

Future goals

Reduce sample DNA input - tumors, single cell, targeting - Shruti Iyer Analyse data from projects for relevant genome properties Improve long read sequencing efficiency - read length, yield, combination of input data types Fix genomics

Acknowledgements



<u>McCombie Lab</u>

Sara Goodwin Melissa Kramer Olivia Mendivil Ramos Stephanie Muller Robert Wappel Elena Ghiban Senem Mavruk Shruti Iyer

Spector Lab

Gayatri Arun Sonam Bhatia

<u>Siepel Lab</u>

Armin Scheben



<u>Schatz Lab</u>

Sam Kovaka Med Michael Kirsche Rachel Sherman Katie Jenike Sergey Aganezov Srividya Ramakrishnan

<u>Timp Lab</u>

lsac Lee

MaizeCODE consortium Living Fossils consortium

AMNH Nancy Simmons Sara Oppenheim



Fritz Sedlazeck Karen Kostroff

Medhat Helmy

Baylor

College of Medicine

<u>Funding</u>

NCI NSF NHGRI Northwell Health Thank you!